MOLECULAR EVOLUTION OF GPCRS Secretin/secretin receptors

Janice K V Tam, Leo T O Lee, Jun Jin and Billy K C Chow

School of Biological Sciences, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong, Hong Kong

Correspondence should be addressed to B K C Chow **Email** bkcc@hku.hk

52:3

Abstract

In mammals, secretin is a 27-amino acid peptide that was first studied in 1902 by Bayliss and Starling from the extracts of the jejunal mucosa for its ability to stimulate pancreatic secretion. To date, secretin has only been identified in tetrapods, with the earliest diverged secretin found in frogs. Despite being the first hormone discovered, secretin's evolutionary origin remains enigmatic, it shows moderate sequence identity in nonmammalian tetrapods but is highly conserved in mammals. Current hypotheses suggest that although secretin has already emerged before the divergence of osteichthyans, it was lost in fish and retained only in land vertebrates. Nevertheless, the cognate receptor of secretin has been identified in both actinopterygian fish (zebrafish) and sarcopterygian fish (lungfish). However, the zebrafish secretin receptor was shown to be nonbioactive. Based on the present information that the earliest diverged bioactive secretin receptor was found in lungfish, and its ability to interact with both vasoactive intestinal peptide and pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating polypeptide potently suggested that secretin receptor was descended from a VPAC-like receptor gene before the Actinopterygii–Sarcopterygii split in the vertebrate lineage. Hence, secretin and secretin receptor have gone through independent evolutionary trajectories despite their concurrent emergence post-2R. A functional secretin-secretin receptor axis has probably emerged in the amphibians. Although the pleiotropic actions of secretin are well documented in the literature, only limited information of its physiological functions in nonmammalian tetrapods have been reported. To decipher the structural and functional divergence of secretin and secretin receptor, functional characterization of the ligandreceptor pair in nonmammals would be the next perspective for investigation.

Key Words

- secretin
- ▶ secretin receptor
- evolution
- ▶ origin
- divergence

Journal of Molecular Endocrinology (2014) **52**, T1–T14

Discovery of secretin: concept of hormones and first physiological function

Secretin was first discovered by Bayliss and Starling in 1902 from the extracts of the jejunal mucosa for its ability to stimulate pancreatic secretion (Bayliss & Starling 1902). They introduced the concept of hormones as chemical messengers released from cells and conveyed by the blood stream to the target organ(s) to stimulate secretion by means of chemical reflex (Modlin & Kidd 2001).

http://jme.endocrinology-journals.org DOI: 10.1530/JME-13-0259 © 2014 Society for Endocrinology Printed in Great Britain 'Hormone' was derived from the Greek phrase 'I arouse to excitement', and with this novel concept more than a 100 years ago, Bayliss and Starling established the discipline of endocrinology. Since its discovery, it took more than 60 years until SCT peptide was isolated and characterized. Secretin was first purified from the porcine intestine and was found to be a basic 27-amino acid peptide (Jorpes & Mutt 1961, Mutt *et al.* 1970). Later, SCT peptide or derived sequences from cDNAs was

Published by Bioscientifica Ltd.

This paper is one of eight papers that form part of a thematic review section on the Molecular Evolution of GPCRs. The Guest Editor for this section was Hubert Vaudry, European Institute for Peptides Research, University of Rouen, France.

Secretin and secretin receptor evolution

52:3

characterized from various vertebrates, including chicken (Nilsson *et al.* 1980), bovine (Carlquist *et al.* 1981), humans (Carlquist *et al.* 1985), dog (Shinomura *et al.* 1987), rat (Kopin *et al.* 1991), guinea pig (Buscail *et al.* 1990), rabbit (Gossen *et al.* 1990), sheep (Bounjoua *et al.* 1991), *Xenopus laevis*, and *Rana rugulosa* (Tam *et al.* 2011).

Structural evolution of secretin

Secretin as a member of the secretin/glucagon family

Secretin is a member of the secretin/glucagon superfamily which includes a pleiotropic group of brain-gut peptides that share significant structural and conformational homology, with affinity for the secretin/glucagon receptor superfamily of the secretin G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) family (Ng *et al.* 2002, Siu *et al.* 2006, Cardoso *et al.* 2010). Both sequence and secondary structure of the secretin/glucagon superfamily peptides are highly conserved, in which the latter consists of a random N-terminal structure and a C-terminal alpha helix (Wray *et al.* 1998, Bourgault *et al.* 2009).

Currently, ten peptides belonging to the superfamily have been isolated in humans, including pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating polypeptide (PACAP), PACAP-related peptide (PRP), vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP), peptide histidine isoleucine (PHI)/peptide histidine methionine (PHM), growth hormone-releasing hormone (GHRH), secretin (SCT), glucagon (GCG), glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP1), glucagon-like peptide 2 (GLP2), and glucose-dependent insulinotropic peptide (or gastric inhibitory polypeptide (GIP)) (Cardoso *et al.* 2010). In the superfamily, vertebrate secretin demonstrates the lowest sequence conservation. Revealed by sequence and phylogenetic analyses, PACAP; VIP; and GCG are the most conserved members, while PRP; GLP2; and SCT are the most divergent (Cardoso *et al.* 2010).

Secretin has moderate sequence identity in nonmammalian vertebrates but is highly conserved in mammals

Figure 1A shows the alignment of the mature peptide of secretin from all the vertebrate species hitherto identified and isolated. Turkey and zebra finch predicted sequences were included because of the limited number of non-mammalian tetrapod secretin sequences in the literature. Secretin is highly conserved among the mammalian species (81.5–96.3%) (Fig. 1B). In contrast, when non-mammalian secretins are compared with mammalian

5 15 A 10 20 25 Human HSDGTFTSEL SRLREGARLQ RLLQGLV-Pig HSDGTFTSEL SRLRDSARLQ RLLQGLV-Sheep HSDGTFTSEL SRURDSARLO RULOGUV-Cattle HSDGTFTSEL SRLRDSARLO RLLOGLV-Guinea pig SRLRDSARLO RLLOGLV-HSDGTFTSEL SRLRESARLQ RLLQGLV-HSDGTFTSEL Dog Rabbit HSDGTLTSEL SRLRDRARLO RLLOGLL-SRLQDSARLQ RLLQGLV-Rat HSDGTFTSEL Mouse HSDGMFTSEL SRLQDSARLQ RLLQGLV-Chicken HSDGLFTSEY SKMRGNAOVO KETONLM-Zebra finch HSDGLFTSEY SKMRGNAOVO KFIONLM-HSDGLFTSEY Turkev* SKMRGNAOVO KFIONLM-X. laevis HVDGRFTSEF SRARGSAAIR KIINSALA R. rugulosa HVDGMFTSEF SRARGSAAIR KIINSALA X. tropicalis HVDGMFTSEF SRARGSAAIR KIINSALA

В

	Human	Pig/ sheep/ cattle/ guinea pig	Dog	Rabbit	Rat	Mouse	Chicken/ zebra finch/ turkey	X. laevis	R. rugulosa/ X. tropicalis
Human		92.6	96.3	85.2	88.9	85.2	51.9	39.3	39.3
Pig/sheep/ cattle/ guinea pig			96.3	88.9	96.3	92.6	51.9	42.9	42.9
Dog				85.2	92.6	88.9	51.9	42.9	42.9
Rabbit					85.2	81.5	48.1	39.3	39.3
Rat						96.3	48.1	39.3	39.3
Mouse							48.1	39.3	42.9
Chicken/ Zebra finch/ Turkey								46.4	46.4
X. laevis									96.4
R. rugulosa/ X. tropicalis									

Figure 1

(A) Alignment of secretin mature peptides. Accession numbers are human Homo sapiens, AAG31443; sheep Ovis aries, P31299; rat Rattus norvegicus, AAA42128; domestic guinea pig Cavia porcellus, P63297; mouse Mus musculus, CAA51982; cattle Bos taurus, P63296; dog Canis lupus familiaris, P09910; rabbit Oryctolagus cuniculus, P32647; pig Sus scrofa, AAA31121; chicken Gallus gallus, P01280; zebra finch Taeniopygia guttata, ENSTGUT0000007450; turkey Meleagris gallopavo, ENSMGAT0000004169; African clawed frog Xenopus laevis, NP_001267540; bullfrog Rana rugulosa, ADT91712. *Predicted sequence from Ensembl.org. (B) Percent amino acid sequence identity of the aligned secretin mature peptides.

secretins, the sequence identity drops to 39.3–51.9% (Fig. 1B). Interestingly, comparison of the sequence identity of secretins in nonmammalian tetrapods reveals that avian secretins only share limited sequence identity with frog secretins (46.4%). It suggests that secretin evolved relatively rapidly along the tetrapod lineage until the divergence of mammals, during which its sequence was under a stringent evolutionary pressure.

As shown in Fig. 1A, SCT peptides maintained well-preserved loci of biological activity in their N-terminal domains. Asp at position 3 is conserved across all the mature SCT peptides and this residue has a role in adenyl cyclase (AC) stimulation and interacts with the basic residues in the second transmembrane (TM) helix of the secretin GPCRs (Cardoso *et al.* 2010). Other conserved residues such as His1 and Phe6 are key amino acids in secretin's GPCR-binding affinity (Gourlet *et al.* 1991,

http://jme.endocrinology-journals.org DOI: 10.1530/JME-13-0259



Figure 2

Comparison of gene organizations of secretin in avians and mammals. The exons are shown as boxes and the introns as lines. The lengths of the exons and introns are not drawn to scale so that they can be aligned between genes.

Gallwitz *et al.* 1994, Irwin 2001, Bourgault *et al.* 2009). Predicted from the conserved GKR (Gly-Lys-Arg) cleavage site in the secretin precursors, secretin is a 27-amino acid peptide except in frog (Tam *et al.* 2011). In avians, in addition to the secretin peptide, a secretin-like peptide with a predicted length of 34-amino acids has been reported. The chicken secretin-like peptide shares 56 and 52% sequence identity to chicken and mammalian secretin respectively (Wang *et al.* 2012). According to the Ensembl zebra finch and turkey genomes, the predicted zebra finch and turkey secretin precursors also contain two peptides: secretin and secretin-like, which share high amino acid sequence identity to chicken secretin (100%) and secretinlike (88 or 100%) respectively (Wang *et al.* 2012).

Secretin genes in mammals and nonmammalian vertebrates

There is a remarkable difference between the genomic organizations of SCT in mammals and chicken (Fig. 2). In mammals, the human and rat SCT genes consist of four exons spanning 713 and 813 nucleotides, respectively, and exon 2 encodes the SCT peptide (Kopin et al. 1991, Sherwood et al. 2000, Whitmore et al. 2000). The SCT gene is most conserved within the exon that encodes the biologically active mature SCT peptide, i.e. exon 2 (Whitmore et al. 2000). In chicken, the SCT gene consists of seven exons, exons 1 and 2 are noncoding, exon 4 encodes the secretin-like peptide, and exon 5 encodes the mature secretin peptide. It was proposed that the extra exon found in avian species (chicken, turkey, and zebra finch) is either due to an avian-specific exon duplication event (Hwang et al. 2013), or it was originated from a duplication of the VIP gene that was retained in avians but lost in mammals (Wang et al. 2012).

Molecular evolution of secretin

In mammals, the members of the secretin/glucagon superfamily are encoded by six genes (ADCYAP1, GHRH, VIP, GCG, SCT, and GIP) (Fig. 3; Sherwood et al. 2000, Lee et al. 2007). Although it has not been possible to determine the precise timing of the emergence of these genes, they are proposed to have evolved from a primordial exon via exon and gene/chromosome duplications during the chordate radiation (Fig. 3), since they are absent in nonvertebrate genomes including Caenorhabditis elegans, amphioxus, and Ciona (Cardoso et al. 2010, Hwang et al. 2013). Their divergence was postulated to take place after the protostome-deuterostome split from the primordial exon, which was part of an existing gene or gene fragment generated by rounds of gene/genome duplication. Originated from the duplicate exon under different evolutionary pressures, the chordate PACAP-like and glucagon-like subfamilies emerged (Cardoso et al. 2010; Fig. 3).

When did secretin emerge?

The PACAP-like subfamily is hypothesized to begin with a PACAP-like gene more than 650 MYA. From this primordial gene, the ancestral PRP-PACAP was generated by exon duplication. On the basis of the current theory that two rounds of genome duplication (1R/2R) have taken place before the Sarcopterygii-Actinopterygii split (Ohno 1970, Steinke et al. 2006, Ogino et al. 2009), four paralogous genes were generated, in which three of them, PRP-PACAP, PHI-VIP, and GHRH were retained in the genome and passed on along the vertebrate lineage (Cardoso et al. 2010). For secretin, its evolutionary origin remains elusive because it is more divergent from other members of the PACAP-like subfamily, and has only been identified in tetrapods at present (Cardoso et al. 2006, 2010, Hwang et al. 2013). To add clues to find the origin and divergence time of secretin, we have summarized the comparative chromosomal synteny analyses of secretin previously reported with updates from current genome versions (Fig. 4).

In mammals, *SCT* is found in all representative species and has a highly conserved genome environment as shown by the neighboring genes *DRD4*, *DEAF1*, *IRF7*, and *PNPLA2* (Fig. 4). In avians, *SCT* has been identified from chicken *Gallus gallus*, zebra finch *Taeniopygia guttata*, and turkey *Meleagris gallopavo*. Although an avian-specific exon duplication that generated the secretin-like peptide has been proposed to have taken place (Wang *et al.* 2012), the gene environment of secretin is highly syntenic within

http://jme.endocrinology-journals.org DOI: 10.1530/JME-13-0259



Figure 3

Phylogenetic analysis of the secretin/glucagon hormone precursor superfamily. The tree was generated by maximum likelihood (ML) and plotted by MEGA 5.0. Predicted sequences are marked by asterisk. SCT, secretin precursor; preproGHRH, prepro-growth hormone-releasing hormone; PHI–VIP, peptide histidine isoleucine–vasoactive intestinal peptide precursor; PRP–PACAP, pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating polypeptide (PACAP)-related peptide–PACAP precursor. The proposed primordial exon is represented by a black rectangle.

http://jme.endocrinology-journals.org DOI: 10.1530/JME-13-0259 © 2014 Society for Endocrinology Printed in Great Britain Published by Bioscientifica Ltd

Figure 4

Chromosomal locations of SCT genes in various vertebrate species. Neighboring genes of SCT in different vertebrate genomes are shown. Homologous genes in proximity of secretin are linked by straight lines to demonstrate the syntenic gene environment of SCT in the analyzed

avians as well as from avians to mammals (Fig. 4). However, using the latest version of the anole lizard genome (AnoCar2.0), a secretin-like sequence could not be identified. The absence of secretin in anoles is likely due to either incomplete genome assembly or the loss of this gene in this species (Hwang et al. 2013). To date, the earliest diverging secretin found is in amphibians represented by the frog species X. laevis, Xenopus tropicalis, and R. rugulosa (Tam et al. 2011). In contrast to the highly syntenic gene environment in mammals and avians, secretin in frogs (represented by X. tropicalis) has a relatively less conserved gene order in vicinity. It could be attributed to the incomplete nature of the genome assembly, but it may also represent an earlier chromosomal arrangement of secretin and the genes in proximity. In the Actinopterygii lineage, teleost is the most diverse vertebrate clade (Cardoso et al. 2010). Although, secretin-like sequences have not been found in any available teleost genomes

vertebrate species. Note that *sct* is not found in zebrafish genome. Versions of genome databases at Ensembl: human (GRCh37), mouse (GRCm38), zebra finch (taeGut3.2.4), chicken (Galgal4), *Xenopus tropicalis* (JGI_4.2), and zebrafish (Zv9).

(fugu, medaka, zebrafish, teraodon, and stickleback), a secretin receptor has been identified in zebrafish (Wang et al. 2012). Hence, it has been proposed that secretin does not exist in teleost fish (Tam *et al.* 2011, Wang *et al.* 2012) and may be a result of local gene duplications or gene loss that are proposed to have occurred after 2R duplication but before the divergence of teleosts and tetrapods (Hwang et al. 2013). For sarcopterygian fish, lungfish and coelacanth are the only extant species at present (Bailes et al. 2007). Although our group has previously cloned a functional secretin receptor from lungfish Protopterus dolloi, we did not find any secretin-like sequence that could be a potential endogenous ligand for this secretin receptor. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that a secretinlike peptide exists in other lungfish species and lobe-finned fish species (e.g., coelacanth).

For agnathans, the first lamprey VIP/PACAP ligands were identified from the Japanese lamprey (Ng *et al.* 2012).

http://jme.endocrinology-journals.org DOI: 10.1530/JME-13-0259

Secretin and secretin receptor evolution

52:3

However, it has been reported that secretin was not found in the sea lamprey, *Petromyzon marinus*, which is an extant primitive vertebrate of the Agnatha clade (Cardoso *et al.* 2010). Although it could be attributed to the incomplete nature of the genome assembly, suggested by the absence of secretin in both teleosts and sarcopterygian fish species, it is a more plausible explanation that secretin is absent in agnathans. Consistent with this theory, no secretin-like peptide could be identified in extensive genome searches in any early deuterostomes (e.g., urochordates). Although it has been previously reported that secretin-like peptides have been detected by immunohistochemistry in *Ciona intestinalis, Styela plicata*, and *Branchiostomata*, secretinlike peptides have never been isolated and sequenced from these animals (Cardoso *et al.* 2010).

Integrating the current information, we propose that, descended from the primordial exon (Fig. 3), the first ancestral PACAP/secretin-like gene could have appeared in pre- or early vertebrates before the two rounds of wholegenome duplications occurred (Hwang et al. 2013). After a series of chromosomal translocations and/or rearrangements in early vertebrates, this ancestral PACAP/secretinlike gene went through the two rounds of genome duplication before the Sarcopterygii-Actinopterygii split, which generated four copies of this ancestral gene. One of the four copies was eventually established as the SCT gene after local gene duplication and/or loss before the divergence of teleosts and tetrapods (Hwang et al. 2013). Hence, in this proposed evolutionary scheme, secretin is hypothesized to have emerged before the divergence of teleosts and tetrapods but was lost in teleosts and retained only in land vertebrates, explaining why the SCT gene is absent in teleosts as well as sarcopterygian fish.

Molecular evolution and structural features of secretin receptor

To understand the evolutionary trajectory of the secretin receptor in the secretin GPCR family, sequences from mammals, chicken, *X. laevis*, lungfish, and zebrafish were analyzed with all the available full-length receptors cloned and obtained from data mining in the PACAP-like receptor subfamily (PAC1, VPAC1, VPAC2, GHRHR, and PRPR) (Fig. 5). On the basis of previous analyses that the receptors for *VIP*, *PACAP*, *GHRHR*, *PRP*, and *SCT* are descended from a PACAP-like receptor ancestral gene after the initial divergence of the glucagon-like and PACAP-like branches in the secretin GPCR family (Laburthe *et al.* 1996, Chow *et al.* 1997, Chan *et al.* 1998, Cardoso *et al.* 2010), only the PACAP-like receptors have been included in the

Figure 5

Phylogenetic analysis of the secretin receptor superfamily. The predicted sequence from genome project is marked by an asterisk. Other receptor sequences used in the present analysis are referenced (Cardoso *et al.* 2006, Ng *et al.* 2010, Wang *et al.* 2012, Hwang *et al.* 2013).

analysis (Fig. 5). The phylogenetic tree was generated by ClustalW alignment using the maximum-likelihood (ML) method with the parathyroid hormone receptors (PTHR) as outgroup. The tree grouped the PACAP-like receptors into six major clades (SCTR, GHRHR, PRPR, PAC1, VPAC1, and VPAC2), each of which contains orthologous receptors from different vertebrate species. The monophyly of each receptor clade was strongly supported by the bootstrap values (94-100) (Fig. 5). The overall topology of the tree is in agreement with previous reports (Segre & Goldring 1993, Tam et al. 2011). Phylogenies inferred from the SCTR clade are consistent with the established divergence of vertebrate groups, with lungfish and zebrafish SCTRs most distantly related to the mammalian SCTR sub-branch, demonstrating the gradual divergence of secretin receptors along the Osteichthyes lineage until the emergence of mammalian secretin receptors, during which the receptors were more structurally stabilized.

To reveal the relationship between the structural features and the evolution of SCTR, we summarized the key structural features together with the conservation score in Fig. 6. To minimize potential bias in the conservation score analysis toward mammalian secretin receptors, only one sequence from each vertebrate group was used in the alignment (mammals: human, amphibian: *X. laevis*, avian: chicken, sarcopterygian fish: *P. dolloi*, and teleost: zebrafish).

T7

52:3

Unconserved 012345678910 Conserved

	10			40	
H_sapiensSCTR M	-RPHLSPPL	QQLLLPVLLA	CAAHSTGALP	RLCDVLQVLW	EEQDQCLQEL
Danio_rerioSCTRM	-KISAFS	QRLAVAAFIL	RVCSQVCAVP	PECDLDVLLL	QEEETCHNII
G_gallusSCTR -	M	WTISVIIFWI	SAVLI-RAVP	PVCDLLNVLK	KEEENCAEIL
X laevisSCTR -	MTTSD	WLWSTGIWAL	ALLLRPAAAQ	LSCDLLRVLK	MOEDLCTEAL
P_dolloiSCTR M	FLELRVVFK	MWIIALSLCL	ENILLIHAVH	IECELPSILK	KEKEQCENIL
Consistency 3	010023213	4254525426	3443322 * 64	23 * 88338 * 4	58773 2549
				L	
	60		D* W 80		P
H sanieneSCTR S	REOTODICT	EOPVPCOR	CMNDNTS CNP	SSVDCRMUEV	FCDRFLRMLT
Danio regioscere	OPNETES	SSTHTCOS	ALWODINCWP	PAFACETUSO	DCDSFLPVK-
C	T PARALES	DDLL CCCCC	CHARDANCORD	CONCRETES	TOP PROMINE
G_gallusSCTR S	LEARNRIPA	DDLLSSGRCA	GMWDNMSCWP	SSAVGITVSA	HCPKFFQMLT
A_IAEVISSCIR A	AENVSRNIW	PEGGGV	GENDELISCHP	SSALGETVII	PEPEILQGFT
P_dolloiscTR S	AEAHNKSEN	QELQAGCA	GEWDNVTCWP	SAAVROTVSV	PCPEFISLLT
Consistency 6	4 4 3 5 5 4 1 1	3300333644	74 676	7754647 65	4 5775456
-	* *	GW			
ter i se la companya de la companya	110	120	0 13	0 14	0 150
H_sapiensSCTR S	RNGSLFRNC	TODGWSETFP	RPNLACG	VNVNDSS	NEKRHSYLLK
Danio_rerioSCTR-	GAVMENC	TENGWSVTFP	PYELACGHGL	NDSFHFPSDS	VLVSDE YFFY
G_gallusSCTR G	KQGFVYRNC	TSEGWSDPYP	RPDIACG	YNVNDTT	NEARRS YFMT
X_laevisSCTR D	QQGSLYRNC	TKDGWSTRFP	SIDVACG	YD AN	ITDNVVYFMH
P_dolloiSCTR G	VKDFIYRNC	TINGWSEAFP	RLETACG	YKVNDTT	TDDKTSYSN
Consistency 2	2374768**	36*** 448*	4266***000	0006443456	333525 * 643
• •	·			• • •	
			0 [×] 18	F. x R H 19	0 200
H sapiensSCTR L	RUMYTVGYS	SSLVMLLVAL	GILCAFRRLH	CTRNYIHMHL	FVSFILRALS
Danio rerioSCTRV	RIMYSAGYA	VSLVSLSIAL	TVLCVFRKLH	CTRNFIHMOL	FLSFILBALF
G gallusSCTR L	STMYTICYC	TSLVTLTIAL	VVLASLERLE	CTRNYIRMHL	FTSFILBASS
Y lacuis SCTP T	NTL NTACNO	TOTACTATAL	ATTACTOPTO	CTRNYTHMUL	PUSETIBAMS
D dolloiscrp T	THAT TO YE	TEFTCLEVAV	TTLCFFPKIP	CTRNYTUNUL	PRETTRATC
Conditioner 0			20.0447.00.0		
Consistency	0000004	IMI	300 44 / 00 <u>CL</u>		IMZ
	210			24	0 250
	PTTP NTTPC	CONTRUED D	DA COTT THE	TROVOTUDAY	CHATTER OF ME
H_sapiensSCTK N	FIRDAVEFS	SDDVTICDAH	- RAGEKLVMV	LEQICIMANI	SWLLVEGLIL
Danio_rerioSCTRI	FIRDAALHY	SQETTHONSH	-PPGCKVALF	LSNYCILANY	SWLLVEAHYL
G_gallusSCTR N	FIKDNVLFS	SEDTNYCDAY	-TAGCKLTMV	FFQYCIMSNY	SWLLVEGLYL
X_laevisSCTR I	FIKDAVLYS	TEDINHCNIY	-STDCSFLMI	FFQYCIMANY	SWLLVEGLYL
P_dolloiSCTR V	LIKDSVLFS	SKDIDHCSAY	ASSGCKFVVV	FYQYCIMANY	SWLLVEGLYL
Consistency 4	7				
	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	868537667	0457 75577	657 *** 88 **	*****76*
		86853 / 667	0457 75577	6 5 7 * * * 8 8 * * IM3	* * * * * * 7 6 *
		RK	045775577	657***88** IM3 029	0
H_sapiensSCTR H	TLLAISFFS	RK	0457 75577	657***88** IM3 029 ALWAIARHFL	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danic_rerioSCTR	TLLAISFFS SLINLSLRS	RK 270 RK 270 RKYLQGFVA QKKRLHWYIL	0457 75577 28 FGWGSPAIFV LGWGIPMLII	657***88** IM3 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H G_gallusSCTR H	TLLAISFFS SLINLSLRS SLLVISFFS	RK 27/1667 RK 27/1 RKYLQGFVA QKKRLHWYIL ERKFLWWFIA	0457 #75577 FGWGSPAIFV LGWGIPMLII LGWGAPTVFV	657 * * * 88 * * 1M3 029 A LWA IARH F L ISWSLAKYLH AAWA TARQUS	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H G_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H	TLLAISFFS SLINLSLRS SLLVISFFS TLLVISFFS	RK 270 RK 270 CRKYLQGFVA QKKRLHWYIL ERKFLWWFIA EWKYFCWYIA	C 4 57 * 75577	657 ***88** IM3 029 ALWAIARHFL ISWSLAKYIH AAWATARQIS IAWAVCRHIY	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H G_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H P_dolloiSCTR H	TLLAISFFS SLINLSLRS SLLVISFFS TLLVISFFS SLLVISFFS	RKYLQGFVA QKKRLHWYIL ERKFLWWFIA EWKYFCWYIA ERKYFWWYIT	0457#75577 FGWGSPAIFV LGWGIPMLII LGWGAPTVFV LGWGSPLVFI LGWGLPSVFI	657 ***88** IM3 029 ALWAIARHFL ISWSLAKYIH AAWATARQIS IAWAVCRHIY IAWSIARQIL	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H G_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H P_dolloiSCTR H Consistency	TLLAISFFS SLINLSLRS SLLVISFFS TLLVISFFS SLLVISFFS SLLVISFFS	RKYLQGFVA QKKRLHWYIL ERKFLWWFIA ERKFLWWFIA ERKYFWWYIT 85 • 562 6895	0457*75577 FCWGSPAIFV LGWGIPMLII LGWGAPTVFV LGWGSPLVFI LGWGLPSVFI 7***4*4879	657 * * 88 103 029 ALWAIARBFL ISWSLAKYIH AAWATARQIS IAWAVCRHIY IAWSIARQIL 66 * 7678573	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H G_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H P_dolloiSCTR H Consistency	260 TLLAISFFS SLINLSLRS SLLVISFFS SLLVISFFS 7 * 9 59 * 7 6 *	RKYLQGFVA QKRLHWYIL ERKIWWIA ERKIWWIA ERKYFWWIIT 85 5 6 2 68 9 5	0 457 * 75577 7 5 5 7 7 7 5 5 7 7 5	657 * * * 88 * 103 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H G_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H P_dolloiSCTR H Consistency	260 TLLAISFFS SLINLSLRS SLLVISFFS SLLVISFFS 7 * 959 * 76*	R K 270 CFVA QKKRLWYIL ERKFLWYIA EKKYFCWYIA EKKYFCWYIA ERKYFWYIA 55 5 6 2 6 8 9 5	0457*75577 028 FGWGSPAIFV LGWGIPMLII LGWGAPTVFV LGWGSPLVFI 1GWGLPSVFI 7**4*4879 033	657 * * * 88 * 103 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H G_gallusSCTR H P_dolloiSCTR H Consistency	1 5 5 5 6 5 6 5 7 6 5 7 6 5 7 6 5 7 6 5 7 6 5 7 6 5 7 6 5 7 6 5 7 6 5 7 6 5 7 6 5 7 7 7 7	RK 277 RK 277 RKYLQGFVA QKKRLHWYIL ERKFLWWFIA ERKYFWWYIT 85-5626895 PVILSILFNF	0 457 * 7[5577 228 FGWGSPAIFV IGWGIPMLII IGWGSPLVFI IGWGSPLVFI IGWGLPSVFI 7***4*4879 33 ILFINILKIL	657 * * * 88 * 103 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H G_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H P_dolloiSCTR H Consistency H H_sapiensSCTR N Danio rerioSCTR H	TLLAISFFS SLINLSLRS SLIVISFFS TLLVISFFS SLLVISFFS 7 * 959 * 76* 	REBSSICE RKYLQGFVA QKKRLHWYIL ERKFLWWFIA ERKFLWWFIA ERKYFWWYIT 85-5626895 	0 457 * 75577 7 5 5 7 7 7 5 5 7 7 1 5 5	657 * * * 88 * 103 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR B Danio_rerioSCTR B G_gallusSCTR B P_dolloiSCTR B Consistency H_sapiensSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N G_gallusSCTR N	LLA ISFS SLINISLRS SLIVISFS SLIVISFS SLIVISFS 7 * 959 * 76 WT 310 ASIWWIIRG GWIWWILRV ANTWWIRG	RK 277 RKYLQGFVA QKKRLHWYIL ERKFLWWFIA EKKYFCWYIA ERKYFWYIT 85 5 6 2 68 95 	0457*75577 028 FGWGSPAIFV LGWGIPMLII LGWGIPMLII LGWGLPVFV LGWGSPLVFI 7**4*4879 033 ILFINILKIL LFFLSIRTL LFFLSIRTL LFFLSIRTL	657 * * 88 * 103 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H G_gallusSCTR H P_dolloiSCTR H Consistency H_sapiensSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR H G_gallusSCTR N X laevisSCTR N	TLLAISPFS SLIVISFFS SLLVISFFS SLLVISFFS TLLVISFFS 7.959.76* 7.959.76* 7.959.76* 7.959.76* 7.959.76*	RK. 27/ ERKYLQGFVA QKKRLHWYIL ERKFLWWFIA ERKYFWWYIA ERKYFWWYIA 85-5626895 PVILSILFNF PVILSILFNF PVILSILFNF PVILSIFVNF	0 457 * 7[5577 228 FGWGSPAIFY LGWGIPMLII LGWGSPLVFI LGWGSPLVFI 1.GWGSPLVFI 7***4*4879 7***4*4879 1.LFVLIRIL LFFLSIIRTL ILFVDILRIL ILFVDILRIL	657 * * * 88 * 103 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H G_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H Consistency H_sapiensSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N X_laevisSCTR N H_dolluSCTR N	TLLAISFFS SLIVISFFS SLLVISFFS SLLVISFFS SLLVISFFS TLVISFFS SLLVISFFS MIWHIRG ASIWHIRG ASIWHIRG ASIWHIRG	RK 270 RK 270 RKYLQGFVA QKKRLHWYIL ERKFLWWFIA ERKFYFWYIT 85-5626895 	0 457 * 75577 228 F GW GS PAIFY LGW GIPMLII LGW GAP VFV LGW GSPLVFI 1 GW GIPSVFI 7 * * 4 * 4879 33 ILFINIERI LFV SIRTL ILFV SIRTL ILFV SIRTL ILFV SIRTL	657 * * * 88 * 103 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H G_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H P_dolloiSCTR H Consistency H_sapiensSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR H G_gallusSCTR N X_laevisSCTR N P_dolloiSCTR N Considency 7	TLLA ISFS SLINLSLRS SLIVLSFS SLLVISFFS SLLVISFFS 7 * 959 * 7 6 WTTS GWIWWILRG GWIWWILRG ASIWWIRG ASIWWIRG ASIWWIRG ASIWWIRG 7 7 * * 9 86	RK 277 RKYLQGFVA QKKRLHWYIL ERKYLWYFIA EWKYFCWYIA ERKYFWYIT 85 5 6 2 68 9 5 VILSILFNF PVILSIFINF PVILSIFINF PVILSIFINF PVILSIFINF	0457 • 7[5577 28 FGWGSPAIFV 1GWGIPMLIV 1GWGSPLVFV 1GWGSPLVFI 1GWGSPLVFI 1GWGSPLVFI 33 1LFINILKIL LFFLSIRTL 1LFVNILKIL 1LFVNILKIL 1LFVSIRTL 1LFVSIRTL 1LFVSIRTL	657 * * * 88 * 103 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H G_gallusSCTR H P_dolloiSCTR H Consistency H_sapiensSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N G_gallusSCTR N N_laevisSCTR N P_dolloiSCTR N Consistency 7	1 5 5 6 TLLA ISFS 15 15 15 SLIVISFS 11 15 15 SLVISFS 11 15 15 SLVISFS 15 15 15 SLVISFS 310 310 310 ASIWIIRG 314 18 35 SIWIIRG 37 *** 98 6	RK 27/ RKYLQGFVA 27/ QKKRLHWYIL ERKYLWYIA ERKYFWYIA 26 PWILSILFNF 32/ PVILSILFNF 9/ PVILSILFNF 9/ PVILSIFNF 9/ PVILSIFNF 10/ PVILSIFNF 10/ PVILSIFNF 10/ PVILSIFNF 10/	0 4 5 7 * 75 5 7 7 9	657 * * * 88 * 103 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H C_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H Consistency H_sapiensSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N X_laevisSCTR N P_dolloiSCTR N Consistency 7	LLA ISPS SLINISLRS SLIVISPFS SLIVISPFS SLIVISPFS 7 * 950 * 76* 	RK 27/ RKYLQGFVA 27/ QKKRLHWYIL 27/ ERKFLWYFIA 28/ EKKYFCWYIA 28/ PVILSILFNP 29/ PVILSILFNP 20/ PVILSIFINP 20/ PVILSIFINP 27/ PVILSIFINP 37/	0 4 5 7 * 7[5 5 7 7 0 28 F GW GS PAIFY LGW GIPMLII LGW GAP VFV LGW GSPLVFI 1 GW GSPLVFI 7 * * 4 * 4 8 7 9 	657 * * * 88 * 103 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H G_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H P_dolloiSCTR H Consistency H_sapiensSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N Consistency P_dolloiSCTR N Consistency H_sapiensSCTR N P_dolloiSCTR N Consistency H_sapiensSCTP		R.K. 27 ERKYLQGFVA 27 QKKRLHWYIL EKYLQGFVA QKKRLHWYIL EKYFCWYIA ERKYFCWYIA EKYFWYIA ERKYFWYIA S PVILSILFNF PVILSIFVNM PVILSIFVNF PVILSIFVNF<	0 4 5 7 * 7[5 5 7 7 9	657 * * * 88 * 103 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H G_gallusSCTR H Y_dolloiSCTR H P_dolloiSCTR H Consistency H_sapiensSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N Consistency N_laevisSCTR N P_dolloiSCTR N Consistency Consistency H_sapiensSCTR N D_dolloiSCTR N Consistency H_sapiensSCTR N		RK 27/ RKK 27/ ERKYLQGFVA 27/ QKKRLHWIL ERKYLWFIA ERKYFCWJA 28/ ERKYFWYIA 28/ PVILSILFNF 32/ PVILSILFNF 32/ PVILSILFNF 32/ PVILSILFNF 32/ PVILSILFNF 32/ PVILSIFINF 37/ INS 37/ LFGIHYIVFA 37/	0 4 5 7 * 7[5 5 7 7 9 28 F GW GS PAIFY L GW GIPMLII L GW G AP VFV L GW GS PLVFI L GW GS PLVFI 1 GW GLPSVFI 7 * * 4 48 79 0 33 ILFINILRIL LFFLSIIRIL ILFVDILRIL ILFVSIIRIL 97 * 84 * 8 * 7 8 F S PED	657 * * * 88 * 103 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H G_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H Consistency H G_gallusSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N Y_laevisSCTR N Consistency 7 H_sapiensSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Consistency 7	LLA ISPS SLINISLRS SLIVISPFS SLIVISPFS SLIVISPFS 7 * 950 * 76 	RK 270 RK 270 RKYLQGFVA QKKRLHWYIL ERKFLWWFIA ERKFLWWFIA ERKYFWWYIA ERKYFWWYIA ERKYFWYIT 85 5626895 2000 PVILSILFNF PVILSIFINF PVILSIFINF 99665777 TM5 270 270 270 270 270 270 270 270	0 4 5 7 * 7[5 5 7 7] 0	657 * * * 88 * 103 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H G_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H P_dolloiSCTR H Consistency H_sapiensSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N Consistency P_dolloiSCTR N Consistency H_sapiensSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L G_gallusSCTR L	TLLA ISFF SLINLSLRS SLIVLSFFS SLLVISFFS SLLVISFFS 7 * 9 59 * 7 6 	R.K. 27 R.K. 27 E.R.K.YLQGFVA 27 QKKALHWYIL E.K.YFLWYIA E.K.YFCWYIA E.K.YFWYIA E.K.YFCWYIA 28 E.K.YFWYIA 29 PVILSILFNF 56 PVILSIFINF 151 PVILSIFINF 37 I.F.GIHYIVFA 37 LFGIHYIVFA 37 LFGUYVLFA 154 LFGUYVLFA 154	0 4 5 7 * 7[5 5 7 7 9 28 F GW GS PAIFY LGW G IPMLII LGW G SPLVFV LGW GS PLVFI LGW GS PLVFI 1 GW GS PLVFI 1 GW GIPSVFI 33 ILFINILRIL IFFLSI RIL IFFLSI RIL IFVD ILRIL ILFVSI RIL 9 7 * 8 4 * 8 7 7 38 F SPED A S 5 7 7 FFPED A S 5 7	657 * * * 88 * 103 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H G_gallusSCTR H Y_dolloiSCTR H Consistency H_sapiensSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR H G_gallusSCTR N P_dolloiSCTR N P_dolloiSCTR N Consistency T H_sapiensSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L		R.K. 27/ R.K. 27/ E.R.KYLQGFVA 27/ QKKRLHWIL ERKYLWFIA E.R.KYFWYIA 27/ E.R.KYFWYIA 27/ F.K.S.G.G.895 32/ PVILSILFNF 32/ PVILSILFNF 32/ PVILSILFNF 32/ PVILSIFINF 37/ PVILSIFINF 37/ LFGIHYIVFA 37/ LFGIHYIVFA 37/ LFGIHYIVFA 37/ LFGIHYIVFA 37/	0 4 5 7 * 7[5 5 7 7 9	657 * * * 88 * 103 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H G_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H Consistency H G_gallusSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N Consistency 7 H_sapiensSCTR N Consistency 7 H_sapiensSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L	LLA ISFPS SLINLSLRS SLIVISFFS SLIVISFFS SLIVISFFS SLVISFFS 7 * 959 * 76* 	R K 27 E R KYLQ GFYA 27 Q K KR LH WYIL 27 E R KYLW WYIA 28 E R KYFC WYIA 28 E R KYFW WYIA 28 D VILSILFNP 320 PVILSIFYNP 370 LF GLQYVLFA 370 LF GLQYVLFA 370 LF GUYYIFA 370 LF GUYYLFA 370	0 4 5 7 * 75 5 7 7 0	657 * * * 88 * 103 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H C_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H P_dolloiSCTR H Consistency H_sapiensSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N P_dolloiSCTR N Consistency H_sapiensSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Consistency H_sapiensSCTR L Consistency H_sapiensSCTR L Consistency H_sapiensSCTR L Consistency H_sapiensSCTR L Consistency H_sapiensSCTR L Consistency H_sapiensSCTR L Consistency	TLLA IS FF SLINLSLRS SLIVISFFS SLLVISFFS SLLVISFFS 7 * 9 59 * 7 6 	R K 27 E R KYLQ GFVA 27 Q K KAL H WYIL 27 E R KYLQ GFVA 27 Q K KAL H WYIL 28 E R KYLW YIA 28 E W KYFC WYIA 28 E W KYFC WYIA 28 P VILSILFNF 32 P VILSIFINF 37 ILSIFINF 37 LFGIHYIVFA 37 LFGUYVFA 37	0 4 5 7 * 7[5 5 7 7 0	657 * * * 88 * 103 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H G_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H Consistency H B_dolloiSCTR H Consistency H G_gallusSCTR N D_dolloiSCTR N D_dolloiSCTR N Consistency 7 H_sapiensSCTR L G_gallusSCTR L G_gallusSCTR L D_dolloiSCTR L G_gallusSCTR L G_gallusSCTR L G_gallusSCTR L G_gallusSCTR L Consistency H		RK. 27/ ERKYLQGFVA QKKRLHWYIL ERKYLWYIA ERKYFWYIA ERKYFWYIA ERKYFWYIA BS 5 562 6895 DVILSILFNF PVILSILFNF PVILSIFINF PVILSIFINF SG 66 57 7 TM5 LFGIHYIVFA LFGURYIFA	0 4 5 7 * 7[5 5 7 7] 0	657 * * * 88 * TM3 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H G_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H Consistency * H_sapiensSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N Consistency 7 H_sapiensSCTR N P_dolloiSCTR N Consistency 7 H_sapiensSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L D_dolloiSCTR L P_dolloiSCTR L P_dolloiSCTR L P_dolloiSCTR L		R K 27 E R KYLQ GFYA 27 Q K KR LH WYIL 27 E R KYLW WYIA 28 E R KYLW WYIA 28 E R KYLW WYIA 28 E R KYLW WYIA 29 E R KYLW WYIA 29 D VILSILFN P 320 P VILSIFINP 320 P VILSIFINF 30 LF GIHYIVFA 370 LF GIHYIVFA 370 LF GUHYITPA 370 M6 320	0 4 5 7 * 7[5 5 7 7] 0	657 * * * 88 * 103 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H G_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H P_dolloiSCTR H G_gallusSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N P_dolloiSCTR N Consistency 7 H_sapiensSCTR I Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L G_gallusSCTR I X_laevisSCTR I Consistency 7 H_sapiensSCTR I Consistency 8 H_sapiensSCTR I S_dolloiSCTR I Consistency 8		R K	0 4 5 7 * 7[5 5 7 7 0	657 * * * 88 * 103 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H C_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H Consistency H Consistency H G_gallusSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N D_dolloiSCTR N D_dolloiSCTR N D_dolloiSCTR N Consistency 7 H_sapiensSCTR L G_gallusSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L G_gallusSCTR L D_dolloiSCTR L Consistency H School Construction Construction SCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Consistency H SapiensSCTR L Consistency H		RK. 27 RKYLQGFVA 27 QKKRLHWIL 27 ERKYLQGFVA 27 QKKRLHWIL 27 ERKYLWFIA 28 ERKYFWYIA 28 PVILSILFNF 32 PVILSILFNF 32 PVILSILFNF 32 PVILSIFINF 32 PVILSIFINF 32 PVILSIFINF 32 PVILSIFINF 32 PVILSIFINF 32 PVILSIFINF 37 LFGIQUYLFA 37 LFGVHYIVFA 37 LFGVHYIFA 37 LFGVHYIFA 37 M6 37 M6 37	0 4 5 7 * 7[5 5 7 7] 0	657 * * * 88 * TM3 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H C_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H Consistency * H_sapiensSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N Consistency 7 H_sapiensSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L M_sapiensSCTR L P_dolloiSCTR L M_sapiensSCTR L M_sapiensSCTR L G_gallusSCTR L M_sapiensSCTR L G_gallusSCTR L G_gallusSCTR L G_gallusSCTR L G_gallusSCTR L G_gallusSCTR L M_sapiensSCTR L G_gallusSCTR L M_sapiensSCTR L G_gallusSCTR L M_sapiensSCTR L G_gallusSCTR L M_sapiensSCTR L M_sapiensS		R.K. 27 E.R.KYLQGFVA 27 QKKRLWYIL 27 E.R.KYLWYIA 28 E.R.KYLWYIA 28 E.R.KYLWYIA 28 E.R.KYLWYIA 28 E.R.KYLWYIA 28 PVILSILF 32 PVILSIFINF 39 FOILSIFINF 37 LFGLQYVLA 37 LFGLQYVLA 37 LFGUNYIFA 37 LFGUNYKYA 37 LFGUNYKYA 37 LFGUNYKYA 37 LFGUNYKYA 37 LFGUNKKYA 37 LFGUNKKYA 37 E.GUNYKYA 37 LFGUNKKYA 37 LFGUNKKYA 37 LFGUNKKYA 37	0 4 5 7 * 7[5 5 7 7] 0	657 * * * 88 * 103 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H C_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H P_dolloiSCTR H Consistency H_sapiensSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N P_dolloiSCTR N Consistency H_sapiensSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L G_gallusSCTR L Y_devisSCTR L Consistency H_sapiensSCTR L Consistency		R K	0 4 5 7 * 7[5 5 7 7] 0	657 * * * 88 * 103 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H G_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H Consistency H_sapiensSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N G_gallusSCTR N P_dolloiSCTR N P_dolloiSCTR N D_dolloiSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L P_dolloiSCTR L P_dolloiSCTR L P_dolloiSCTR L P_dolloiSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L DANIO_R		R.K. 27/ R.K. 27/ E.R.KYLQGFVA 27/ QKKRLHWIL ERKYLWFIA E.R.KYFWYIA 27/ E.R.KYFWYIA 27/ E.R.KYFWYIA 27/ E.R.KYFWYIA 27/ PVILSILFNF 32/ PVILSILFNF 32/ PVILSIFINF 32/ PVILSIFINF 32/ PVILSIFINF 32/ PVILSIFINF 32/ PVILSIFINF 37/ LFGILYIVFA 37/ LFGULYVFA 37/ LFGVHYIFA 37/ LFGVHYIFA 37/ LFGVHYIFA 37/ M6 37/	0 4 5 7 * 7[5 5 7 7] 0	657 * * * 88 * 103 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H G_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H Consistency * M B_dolloiSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N Consistency 7 H_sapiensSCTR N Consistency 7 H_sapiensSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Consistency 8 H_sapiensSCTR V Danio_rerioSCTR L Consistency 8 H_sapiensSCTR V Danio_rerioSCTR V Danio_rerioSCTR V Consistency 8 H_sapiensSCTR V Consistency 9 H_sapiensSCTR V H_sapiensSCTR V H_sapiensSC	TLLA IS FF SLINLSLRS SLIVISFFS SLIVISFFS SLIVISFFS SLVISFFS 7 * 959 * 7 6 * 	R K 27 E R KYLQ GFVA 27 Q K KAL H WYIL 27 E R KYLQ GFVA 27 Q K KAL H WYIL E K KYFC WYIA E R KYFW WYIA E K KYFW WYIA E R KYFW WYIA 28 P VILSIF IN F 320 P VILSIF IN F 9966 57 7 ISIF VN F 370 LF GLQY VLFA 157 7 LF GUY VLFA 370 LF GUY VLFA 157 7 LF GUY VLFA 370 LF GUY KWR 320 Q E E VQK KWQ 320 SE I KR KWR 300	0 4 5 7 * 7[5 5 7 7 0	657 * * * 88 * TM3 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H C_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H P_dolloiSCTR H Consistency H G_gallusSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N P_dolloiSCTR N Consistency 7 H_sapiensSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Consistency 1 Consistency 1 H_sapiensSCTR L D_dolloiSCTR L D_dolloiSCTR L Consistency 1 Consistency 1 Consi Consistency 1 C		R K	0 4 5 7 * 7[5 5 7 7] 0	657 * * * 88 * 103 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H C_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H Consistency H B_dolloiSCTR H Consistency H B_dolloiSCTR N D_dolloiSCTR N D_dolloiSCTR N Consistency 7 H_sapiensSCTR L D_dolloiSCTR L Consistency H S_dolloiSCTR L D_dolloiSCTR V D_dolloiSCTR		R K	0 4 5 7 * 7[5 5 7 7 0	657 ***88* 103	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H C_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H Consistency * Consistency * H_sapiensSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N Consistency 7 H_sapiensSCTR N Consistency 7 H_sapiensSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Consistency * H_sapiensSCTR L Consistency *	I I	R K 27 E R KYLQ GFVA 27 Q K KAL H WYIL 27 E R KYLQ GFVA 27 Q K KAL H WYIL E K KYFC WYIA E R KYFC WYIA E K KYFW WYIA E R KYFW WYIA 28 D VILSIF WYIA 32 P VILSIF INF 99 6 6 5 7 7 D VILSIF INF 11 LSIF INF P ILSIF INF 12 GLQYVLA LF GUHY IVFA 14 LF GUHY IIFA 15 6 7 7 M 5 7 6 7 M 5 7 7 7 M 5 7 7 M 6 7 7 M 7 420 Q 1 E VQK K WQ 24 Q 2 E VQ K W R 420 Q 2 E VQ K W R 420 Q 2 E VQ K W R 420 Q 5 E I K K W R 4 9 8 8 8 8 7	0 4 5 7 * 7[5 5 7 7 0	657 * * * 88 * TM3 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H G_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H P_dolloiSCTR H G_gallusSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N P_dolloiSCTR N Consistency 7 H_sapiensSCTR N P_dolloiSCTR N Consistency 7 H_sapiensSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L G_gallusSCTR L P_dolloiSCTR N Consistency 8 H_sapiensSCTR I X_laevisSCTR I X_laevisSCTR I Consistency 8 H_sapiensSCTR I X_laevisSCTR I Consistency 8 H_sapiensSCTR I X_laevisSCTR I Consistency 8 H_sapiensSCTR I X_laevisSCTR I X_laevisSCTR I Consistency 8 H_sapiensSCTR I X_laevisSCTR I X_la		R K 27 CR KYLQ GFVA Q K KRL H WYIL E R KYLQ GFVA Q K KRL H WYIL E R KYLWYIA F G I STYNF F G I STYNF I F G C H Y I YF A L F G V H YI I F A L F G V H Y I I F A L F G V H Y I T F A L F G V H Y I T F A L F G V H Y I T F A L F G V R W R Q Q L E V Q K K W Q Q Y E V Q R K W R R Q S E I Q R K W R R Q S E I Q R K W R R Q S E I Q R K W R R Q S E I Q R K W R R Q S E I Q R K W R R Q S E I Q R K W R R Q S E I Q R K W R R Q S E I Q R K W R R Q S E I Q R K W R R Y S S S S S S 7	0 4 5 7 * 7[5 5 7 7] 0	657 * * * 88 * TM3 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H C_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H Consistency H B_dolloiSCTR H Consistency H H_sapiensSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N Consistency 7 H_sapiensSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L D_dolloiSCTR L D_dolloiSCTR L D_dolloiSCTR L D_dolloiSCTR L D_dolloiSCTR L D_dolloiSCTR L D_dolloiSCTR I X_laevisSCTR I Danio_rerioSCTR I Consistency H SapiensSCTR V D_dolloiSCTR I X_laevisSCTR I D_dolloiSCTR I X_laevisSCTR I D_dolloiSCTR I X_laevisSCTR I D_dolloiSCTR I X_laevisSCTR I D_dolloiSCTR I X_laevisSCTR I D_dolloiSCTR I X_laevisSCTR I X_laevisSCTR I D_dolloiSCTR I X_laevisSCTR I X_laevisSCTR I X_laevisSCTR I D_dolloiSCTR I X_laevisSCTR I X		R K 27 E R KYLQ GFYA 27 Q K KRL WY IA 27 E R KYLQ GFYA 32 Q K KRL WY IA 27 E R KYLW WY IA 28 E R KYLW WY IA 28 E R KYLW WY IA 29 PVI LSILFNP 32 PVI LSIF INP 32 PVI LSIF INP 37 LF GLQ YVLFA 37 LF GLQ YVLFA 37 LF GUY ITFA 37 LF GUY VIFA 37 LF GUY VIFA 37 LF GUY KWRQ 32 Q L EUQ KKWRQ 32 Q E EUQ KKWR 32 Q E I QR KWR 4 S E I KR KWR 4 S E I KR KWR 4 S E I KR KWR <td>0 4 5 7 * 7[5 5 7 7] 0 </td> <td>6 5 7 * * 8 8 8 * IM3 0</td> <td>0</td>	0 4 5 7 * 7[5 5 7 7] 0	6 5 7 * * 8 8 8 * IM3 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H C_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H Consistency H CONSIST H C	I I	R K 271 E R KYLQ GFVA 271 Q K KAL H WYIL 271 E R KYLQ GFVA 271 Q K KAL H WYIL 271 E R KYFC WYIA 274 E R KYFC WYIA 274 E R KYFC WYIA 274 P VILSIFINF 372 P VILSIFINF 374 LFGIHYIVFA 374 LFGUYVFA 374 LFGUYKKWRR 324 Q E E VQKKWQQ 324 Q E E VQKKWQQ 324 Q E E VQKKWQQ 324 Q E E QRKWRR 324 Q S E I QRKWRR 324 Q S E I QRKWRR 344 Q S E I QRKWRR 374 Q S E I QRKWRR 374 R S E I KR KWRR 374 R S E I KR KWRR 374	0 4 5 7 * 7[5 5 7 7] 0	657 * * * 88 * TM3 0	0
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H C_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H P_dolloiSCTR N Consistency H_sapiensSCTR N P_dolloiSCTR N Consistency 7 H_sapiensSCTR N P_dolloiSCTR N Consistency 7 H_sapiensSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Consistency H_sapiensSCTR I X_laevisSCTR I Consistency H_sapiensSCTR I X_laevisSCTR I X_laevisSCTR I X_laevisSCTR I X_laevisSCTR I X_laevisSCTR I Consistency H_sapiensSCTR I Consistency H_sapiensSCTR I Alloy SCTR I Consistency H_sapiensSCTR I C		R K	0 4 5 7 * 7[5 5 7 7] 0	657 * * * 88 * 103 0	
H_sapiensSCTR H Danio_rerioSCTR H G_gallusSCTR H X_laevisSCTR H Consistency H_sapiensSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR N Consistency T H_sapiensSCTR N Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR L Danio_rerioSCTR I Consistency H_sapiensSCTR V Danio_rerioSCTR V Danio_rerioSCTR V Danio_rerioSCTR V Danio_rerioSCTR V Danio_rerioSCTR V Consistency H_sapiensSCTR V Danio_rerioSCTR V Danio_rerioSCTR V Consistency H_sapiensSCTR V Danio_rerioSCTR V D		R K 27 E R KYLQ GFYA 27 Q K KR L W Y I L 27 E R KYLQ GFYA 27 Q K KR L W Y I L 27 E R KYLW Y I A 27 E R KYLW Y I A 27 E R KYLW Y I A 27 P V I L S I L F N F 21 P V I L S I F I N F 21 P V I L S I F I N F 37 LF G L Y V L F A 37 LF G L Y V L F A 37 LF G L Y V L F A 37 LF G V H Y I T F T 37 LF G V H Y I T F T 37 LF G V H Y I T F T 37 LF G V H Y I T F T 37 LF G V H Y I T F T 37 LF G V H Y I T F T 37 M D O Y A T F T 37 M D O Y A T F T 37 M D O Y A T F T 37 LF G V H Y I T F T 37 LF G V H Y I T F T 37 LF G V H Y I T F T 37 M D O Y A T F T F T 37 M D O Y A T F T F T 37 LF G V H Y I T F T 37 LF G V	0 4 5 7 * 7[5 5 7 7] 0	657 * * * 88 * 103 0	0

Figure 6

Alignment of the amino acid sequences of secretin receptors in post-2R vertebrates. The conservation scoring is performed by PRALINE. The score ranged from zero (unconserved) to ten (most conserved) and represented

with the color assignment from blue to red. *Homo sapiens* human, *Danio rerio* zebrafish, *Gallus gallus* chicken, *Xenopus laevis* African clawed frog, and *Protopterus dolloi* lungfish.

http://jme.endocrinology-journals.org DOI: 10.1530/JME-13-0259 © 2014 Society for Endocrinology Printed in Great Britain Published by Bioscientifica Ltd

Ligand-binding domain

The secretin/glucagon superfamily peptides interact in a bivalent mode with their receptors. It is believed that the N-terminal receptor domain is involved in the ligands C-terminus binding, while the juxtamembrane domain and extracellular loops (ECLs) interact with the N-terminus of the ligand. Therefore, the secretin GPCR N-terminal extracellular domain has a defined common pattern of folding for ligand binding. This folding relies on the seven conserved cysteine residues and the specific aspartic acid (Asp53), tryptophan (Trp58), proline (Pro72), glycine (Gly93), and tryptophan (Trp94) residues (position based on the alignment) at the extracellular domain (Furness et al. 2012). These residues are conserved in all the known secretin receptor sequences. Apart from the first cysteine residue (Cys11) that exists as a free residue, the 2nd to 7th residues form three disulfide bonds as follows: 1-4 (Cys25-Cys71), 2-5 (Cys48-Cys89), and 3-6 (Cys57-Cys105). This suggests that the extracellular domain-binding pocket for the ligand secretin was well defined since the emergence of secretin receptor. The short sequence (six residues) insertion and deletion (four residues) in the zebrafish secretin receptor at the ECD may explain its failure to interact with any SCT peptides because the altered extracellular domain cannot recognize the cognate ligand as the other functional secretin receptors (Fig. 6).

Using cysteine-trapping method, together with the data from photoaffinity labeling and molecular modeling, the residues crucial for the interaction of secretin and secretin receptor were predicted. Consistent with previous reports (Segre & Goldring 1993, Dong et al. 2011), the His1 of secretin is known to be essential for binding and biological activity. In this model, the highly conserved Trp284 in TM5 was proposed to interact with His1 of secretin. In addition, Asn278 in the ECL2 has also been proposed to form a hydrogen bond with the secretin residue Asp3 (Dong et al. 2012). This aspartate is highly conserved in the secretin GPCR family and is reported to be critical for the binding affinity and the biological activity of PACAP, VIP, and SCT (Dong et al. 2011). In TM4, Phe268 has been suggested to be in close contact with the Secretin Gly4 (Dong et al. 2012).

Motifs for signal transduction

When stimulated with secretin, all the characterized secretin receptors demonstrate a preferential downstream stimulation toward the cAMP to the intracellular calcium

http://jme.endocrinology-journals.org DOI: 10.1530/JME-13-0259 pathway (Siu *et al.* 2006), with the exception of teleost secretin receptors because no endogenous ligand has been identified (Ng *et al.* 1999, Tam *et al.* 2011, Wang *et al.* 2012). This suggests that the G-protein (G α s) binding domain is well conserved in receptor evolution. Although diverged from the secretin GPCR family, secretin receptor retained the G-protein binding ability as the key regulator of signaling events.

Crucial to maintaining a functional G-protein, the His165 in TM2 and Lys312 and Leu313 in intracellular loop (ICL) 3 are conserved in all the vertebrate secretin receptors. It has been reported that the His165 in TM2 is essential for the surface expression of secretin receptor. Mutation of this His residue (H166A or H166R) in human SCTR decreases the ligand-binding affinity, as well as cAMP response and calcium signaling, thus suggesting the poor surface expression of these mutants (Garcia *et al.* 2012). Lys312 and Leu313 residues in the ICL3 are important for cAMP signaling in human SCTR (Garcia *et al.* 2012) and also in other secretin GPCRs (Mathi *et al.* 1997, Couvineau *et al.* 2003, Marie *et al.* 2003).

As shown in Fig. 6, the xCxR motif is well conserved from fish to mammalian secretin receptors. Reported to be important for G-protein functioning (Garcia *et al.* 2012), mutation of the Arg162 residue in this motif reduces the cAMP responses without abolishing the ligand-binding ability in the rat calcitonin receptor-like receptor (Conner *et al.* 2006) and the rat glucagon receptor (Cypess *et al.* 1999). However, this mutation in human SCTR did not impair cAMP signaling, but caused a complete loss of calcium responses (Garcia *et al.* 2012).

In ICL2, Arg241, and Lys242 have been reported to be critical for the inositol phosphate (IP₃) signaling in many secretin GPCR members (Mathi *et al.* 1997, Couvineau *et al.* 2003, Langer *et al.* 2005). However, mutation analysis showed that this motif did not affect the calcium responses in human SCTR (Garcia *et al.* 2012). Although Lys242 is conserved in secretin receptors, Arg241 is not conserved across different species, suggesting that this motif is not involved in controlling G-protein functioning in SCTR.

N-linked glycosylation sites

It is well recognized that glycosylation plays an important role in cell surface receptor functions. For human SCTR, various glycosylation inhibitors were shown to reduce the secretin-stimulated cAMP response significantly. Four putative N-glycosylation sites at the extracellular domain (Asn54, Asn82, Asn88, and Asn116) of human SCTR have

been proposed. In the alignment (Fig. 6), only Asn88 is conserved in all secretin receptors. However, mutation of this residue in human SCTR did not have significant impact on the signaling and trafficking of the receptor (Pang *et al.* 1999). High variation was found for residue Asn82. In agreement with the mutagenesis study, this glycosylation site is not important for receptor functioning. For Asn54, mutation of this residue significantly reduces cAMP response in human SCTR. Mutation of the Asn116 residue gives contrasting findings in human SCTR. Asn-to-Leu mutation enhanced receptor function in cAMP response and Cytosensor assays, but Ser-to-Ala mutation at the same N-glycosylation site significantly decreases the maximal responses in both cAMP and binding assays.

Among all the identified secretin receptors, human secretin could stimulate lungfish and chicken SCTRs but not *X. laevis* and zebrafish SCTRs. Relating the ligand recognition ability to the glycosylation sites, while lungfish and chicken maintain Asn54 and Asn116 in their sequences, *X. laevis* and zebrafish SCTRs are substituted with other residues at these sites. Substantiated by this observation, these two positions in the glycosylation site are critical for identifying ligand conformation in the binding process.

Secretin ligand-receptor evolution

Suggested by the comparative evolutionary analyses of all secretin and secretin receptors available at present (Fig. 7), secretin and secretin receptor emerged after the 2R via genome expansion. Since the earliest diverging bioactive secretin receptor was found in the sarcopterygian fish lungfish, its ability to interact with both VIP and PACAP potently suggested that secretin receptor was descended from a VPAC-like receptor before the Actinopterygii-Sarcopterygii split in the vertebrate lineage. Suggested by its role in the modulation of water homeostasis in mammals, the divergence of secretin receptor prior the emergence of tetrapods could be an adaptation to the change from aquatic to terrestrial habitat (Tam et al. 2011). Despite the parallel emergence of secretin and secretin receptor as a consequence of the 2R, they evolved via independent evolutionary trajectories until the divergence of tetrapods. While secretin receptor was retained in teleosts after teleost-specific genome duplication (TSGD), secretin was deleted. Similarly, secretin was lost in the sarcopterygian fish (e.g., lungfish) while secretin receptor was retained in the genome. It was not until the divergence of amphibians that the function of the secretin ligand-receptor pair was first established. Subsequent structural evolvement of the secretin and secretin receptor sequences gradually increased the specificity and affinity of the secretin–secretin receptor axis. Eventually, functions of VIP/PACAP and secretin have become independently regulated in mammals (Tam *et al.* 2011), as secretin still shows some cross-reactivity with VPAC receptors in avians.

Does secretin have any physiological functions in fish?

In fish species, secretin receptor has been identified in both lobe-finned fish (Sarcopterygii) (lungfish secretin receptor) (Tam et al. 2011) and bony-fish (Actinopterygii) (zebrafish secretin receptor) (Wang et al. 2012) lineages, although endogenous secretin has not been found (Tam et al. 2011, Wang et al. 2012, Hwang et al. 2013). Interestingly, when lungfish SCTR was tested with human and xenopus secretin and other related peptides within the PACAP-like subfamily, it was activated potently in a dose-specific manner by human PACAP and VIP peptides apart from human and xenopus secretin peptides in triggering intracellular cAMP and calcium mobilization (Tam et al. 2011). The zebrafish secretin receptor, however, was not activated by chicken secretin or chicken secretinlike peptides at 1 µM (Wang et al. 2012). Because the zebrafish secretin receptor has not been tested with frog and mammalian SCT peptides, we cannot exclude the possibility that it is a bioactive receptor. However, the absence of secretin suggests that even if the fish secretin receptors are bioactive, they may act as the cognate receptor for peptides (e.g., PACAP and VIP) other than secretin.

Functional emergence of secretin–secretin receptor axis in land vertebrates

The secretin receptor isolated from *X. laevis* was shown to be highly specific to its endogenous SCT peptide in triggering intracellular cAMP and calcium mobilization (Tam *et al.* 2011). In a primary pancreatic ductal cell culture prepared from *R. rugulosa*, xenopus secretin was shown to be able to trigger dose-dependent intracellular cAMP accumulation (Tam *et al.* 2011). Taking together that the highest co-expression of *SCT* and *SCTR* has been detected in *X. laevis* intestine, it is very likely that secretin has already established its function(s) in the gastrointestinal tract in amphibians.

In avians, unlike the high specificity of secretin and its receptor in frogs, chicken secretin could also activate chicken VPAC1, VAPC2, GHRHR1, GHRHR2, and PAC1 in

Thematic Review	J к v там and others	Secret evolut	in and secr tion
	Agnathans	<u>Ligand</u> X	<u>Recept</u> X
	Teleosts	<u>9×1</u>	SCTR
	Lungfish	94	SCTR
1R Recipient	Frogs	SCT	SCTR
	Avians	SCT	SCTR

ecretin receptor

TR

SCTR

52:3

Secretin gene cannot be found. Secretin receptor can be activated by VIP, PACAP, and SCT.

- Secretin receptor highly is specific to secretin.
- In addition to secretin, a secretinlike peptide is identified. Secretin receptor is specific to both secretin and secretin-like peptides.

Secretin receptor is highly specific to secretin.

SCT-like

SCT

Mammals

Journal of Molecular Endocrinology

Figure 7

Summary of secretin and secretin receptors characterized at present. The hypothetical timing of the two rounds of whole-genome duplications (1R and 2R) (Ogino et al. 2009) and the teleost-specific genome duplication (TSGD) are indicated by a green dot on the phylogeny of the vertebrate lineage. Major events in the evolution of SCT are marked by a yellow

addition to secretin receptor (Wang et al. 2012). Also, the secretin-like peptide that only exists in avians is able to activate chicken secretin receptor at a lower potency than secretin but not other structurally related receptors within the secretin GPCR family (Wang et al. 2012). Such difference in ligand-receptor specificity in avians and amphibians could be a result of the avian-specific diamond and explained with diagrams and description. It is hypothesized that SCT genes were deleted in teleosts and lungfish (Hwang et al. 2013). The cross represents the absence of the genes. Color-filled hexagons represent the presence of a bioactive gene while the white-filled hexagon represents the presence of a gene which may not be bioactive.

gene/genome expansion event which generated the secretin-like peptide. With a dual-ligand control mechanism and coordination with other related receptors, we postulate that the physiological functions of secretin have become more diverged but monitored in a more precise manner in avians when compared with amphibians.

Both secretin and secretin receptor are absent.

Secretin gene cannot be found. Secretin receptor is present but may not be bioactive.

Secretin is a neuropeptide in mammals

In humans, there is evidence that secretin could alleviate autistic symptoms (Horvath et al. 1998, Horvath 2000, Kuntz et al. 2004, Toda et al. 2004), suggesting the physiological importance of secretin in the CNS. In rat, secretin has been shown to be involved in water homeostasis by its action in the hypothalamo-neurohypophysial axis (Chu et al. 2009). Under plasma hyperosmolality conditions, secretin is released from the posterior pituitary and it stimulates vasopressin expression and release in the hypothalamus (Chu et al. 2009). It also increases the firing rate of oxytocin neurons dose dependently and exhibits excitatory effects on supraoptic nucleus vasopressin neurons (Velmurugan et al. 2010). In mouse, secretin is involved in the synaptic function, because SCT knockout mice have been reported to have impairment in synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus (Yamagata et al. 2008). However, it is unclear whether secretin exerts any biological functions in the CNS in nonmammalian vertebrates at present. Suggested by the relatively low expression levels of secretin and secretin receptor in frog and chicken, secretin may have limited role in the CNS in nonmammalian vertebrates (Wang et al. 2012).

Secretin serves as a gastrointestinal hormone in both nonmammalian vertebrates and mammals

As mentioned previously, secretin shows bioactivity in frog pancreatic cells (Tam *et al.* 2011). This principal function of secretin was first demonstrated in dogs. Secretin stimulates the secretion of bicarbonate, water, and electrolytes from the pancreatic ductal epithelium in response to gastric acid and fatty acids in the duodenum (Meyer *et al.* 1970, Watanabe *et al.* 1986). In rat, secretin has been demonstrated to potentiate the effect of cholecystokinin in the stimulation of enzyme secretion from the pancreatic acinar cells (Rausch *et al.* 1985) and promotes pancreatic growth (Solomon *et al.* 1978, 1983, 1987).

In the duodenum, secretin facilitates the secretion of mucus, bicarbonate, and epidermal growth factor from Brunner's gland in rat (Olsen *et al.* 1994). In humans (Dinoso *et al.* 1973) and dogs (Ramirez & Farrar 1970, Hirose *et al.* 1986), secretin inhibits the small intestine and colon contraction activity. Moreover, secretin has been demonstrated to inhibit the absorption of water, sodium, and glucose from dog jejunum and rat ileum (Pansu *et al.* 1980, Hirose *et al.* 1986), and increase the weight, DNA, and protein content of the rat small intestine (Hoang *et al.* 1988).

In stomach, secretin acts as an enterogastrone that inhibits gastric acid release and gastric emptying (Valenzuela & Defilippi 1981, Kleibeuker et al. 1984, You & Chey 1987, Raybould & Holzer 1993, Jin et al. 1994). It inhibits pentagastrin-stimulated acid secretion in dogs (Chey et al. 1981), rats (Rhee et al. 1991), and humans (You & Chey 1987). In humans (Dinoso et al. 1969) and dogs (Chey et al. 1981), secretin was reported to delay gastric emptying by inhibiting the gastric motility, in which the contraction force of the antrum is reduced by secretin. Apart from that, secretin was reported to significantly increase endogenous somatostatin in perfused rat (Chung et al. 1994) and dog stomachs (Gerber & Payne 1996). Furthermore, secretin stimulates pepsin secretion in dogs and cats (Magee & Nakajima 1968, Stening et al. 1969). In humans, secretin was reported to increase both pepsin and pepsinogen output of the unstimulated stomach (Walde & Waldum 1981, Waldum et al. 1981).

Secretin in other peripheral organs

Secretin has also been reported to exert biological functions in other peripheral organs including kidney, heart, lung, and the reproductive organs. In particular, secretin has been reported to act on the proximal and distal epididymis in an autocrine and paracrine manner to control the secretion of electrolytes and water when secreted by the proximal epididymis in rat (Chow et al. 2004). Interestingly, co-expression of secretin and secretin receptor is also detected in chicken testis, suggesting that secretin may act as an autocrine/paracrine factor involved in the regulation of testis functions (Wang et al. 2012), similar to what is observed in rat (Chow et al. 2004). In ovariectomized estrogen-primed rats, secretin injection into the preoptic nucleus could increase the circadian rise of luteinizing hormone release (Kimura et al. 1987). In human, secretin has been suggested to be involved in the stimulation of ovulation as a concurrent surge of plasma secretin and serum estradiol has been observed (Holst et al. 1989a), and it inhibits prolactin release during follicular and luteal phases of the menstrual cycle (Holst et al. 1991). Also, the plasma secretin level is significantly increased from week 28 to 36 during pregnancy in human (Holst et al. 1989b). Hence, apart from the digestive system, secretin probably plays a role in the reproductive system in nonmammalian vertebrates. It would be interesting to investigate whether secretin would be involved in both the male and female reproductive systems in frogs and chickens because they utilize a different reproductive approach from mammals.

Although the moderate sequence conservation of secretin in nonmammalian vertebrates may implicate rapid functional evolution, it is possible that secretin first emerged as a gastrointestinal hormone in early vertebrates, and via the modulation of the ligand–receptor specificity, secretin becomes a pleiotropic hormone in mammals, exhibiting a wide spectrum of functions in different parts of the body.

Conclusion and future perspectives

Secretin diverged prior the Actinopterygii-Sarcopterygii split and is descended from the primordial exon that produced four paralogous genes as a result of the genome expansion (2R) in the vertebrate lineage. Its cognate receptor is proposed to have descended from VPAC-like receptors in parallel with secretin, resulting in having a copy in both lungfish and zebrafish, although their endogenous secretins were lost. Secretin and secretin receptor have gone through independent evolutionary processes despite their parallel emergence. A functional secretin-secretin receptor axis was first established with the divergence of amphibians. At present, although the physiological functions of mammalian secretin are well studied, the information on the bioactivity and functions of secretin in nonmammalian tetrapods are limited. To understand the structural and functional evolution of secretin, secretin functions in nonmammals should be further explored and studied in different vertebrate species (teleosts, lungfish, frogs, and chicken) for the next step.

Declaration of interest

Funding

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr Christopher Binny for the editing of the manuscript.

References

- Bayliss WM & Starling EH 1902 The mechanism of pancreatic secretion. *Journal of Physiology* **28** 325–353.
- Bounjoua Y, Vandermeers A, Robberecht P, Vandermeers-Piret MC & Christophe J 1991 Purification and amino acid sequence of vasoactive intestinal peptide, peptide histidine isoleucinamide and secretin from the ovine small intestine. *Regulatory Peptides* **32** 169–179. (doi:10.1016/ 0167-0115(91)90044-H)
- Bourgault S, Vaudry D, Segalas-Milazzo I, Guilhaudis L, Couvineau A, Laburthe M, Vaudry H & Fournier A 2009 Molecular and conformational determinants of pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating polypeptide (PACAP) for activation of the PAC1 receptor. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* **52** 3308–3316. (doi:10.1021/jm900291j)
- Buscail L, Cauvin A, Gourlet P, Gossen D, De Neef P, Rathe J, Robberecht P, Vandermeers-Piret MC, Vandermeers A & Christophe J 1990 Purification and amino acid sequence of vasoactive intestinal peptide, peptide histidine isoleucinamide (1–27) and secretin from the small intestine of guinea pig. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* **1038** 355–359. (doi:10.1016/0167-4838(90)90248-E)
- Cardoso JC, Pinto VC, Vieira FA, Clark MS & Power DM 2006 Evolution of secretin family GPCR members in the metazoa. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 6 108. (doi:10.1186/1471-2148-6-108)
- Cardoso JC, Vieira FA, Gomes AS & Power DM 2010 The serendipitous origin of chordate secretin peptide family members. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **10** 135. (doi:10.1186/1471-2148-10-135)
- Carlquist M, Jornvall H & Mutt V 1981 Isolation and amino acid sequence of bovine secretin. *FEBS Letters* **127** 71–74. (doi:10.1016/0014-5793(81)80343-2)
- Carlquist M, Joernvall H, Forssmann WG, Thulin L, Johansson C & Mutt V 1985 Human secretin is not identical to the porcine/bovine hormone. *IRCS Medical Science* **13** 217–218.
- Chan KW, Yu KL, Rivier J & Chow BK 1998 Identification and characterization of a receptor from goldfish specific for a teleost growth hormone-releasing hormone-like peptide. *Neuroendocrinology* **68** 44–56. (doi:10.1159/000054349)
- Chey WY, Kim MS, Lee KY & Chang TM 1981 Secretin is an enterogastrone in the dog. *American Journal of Physiology* **240** G239–G244.
- Chow BK, Yuen TT & Chan KW 1997 Molecular evolution of vertebrate VIP receptors and functional characterization of a VIP receptor from goldfish *Carassius auratus. General and Comparative Endocrinology* **105** 176–185. (doi:10.1006/gcen.1996.6818)
- Chow BK, Cheung KH, Tsang EM, Leung MC, Lee SM & Wong PY 2004 Secretin controls anion secretion in the rat epididymis in an autocrine/paracrine fashion. *Biology of Reproduction* **70** 1594–1599. (doi:10.1095/biolreprod.103.024257)
- Chu JY, Lee LT, Lai CH, Vaudry H, Chan YS, Yung WH & Chow BK 2009 Secretin as a neurohypophysial factor regulating body water homeostasis. *PNAS* **106** 15961–15966. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0903695106)
- Chung I, Li P, Lee K, Chang T & Chey WY 1994 Dual inhibitory mechanism of secretin action on acid secretion in totally isolated, vascularly perfused rat stomach. *Gastroenterology* **107** 1751–1758.
- Conner AC, Simms J, Howitt SG, Wheatley M & Poyner DR 2006 The second intracellular loop of the calcitonin gene-related peptide receptor provides molecular determinants for signal transduction and cell surface expression. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 281 1644–1651. (doi:10.1074/jbc.M510064200)
- Couvineau A, Lacapere JJ, Tan YV, Rouyer-Fessard C, Nicole P & Laburthe M 2003 Identification of cytoplasmic domains of hVPAC1 receptor required for activation of adenylyl cyclase. Crucial role of two charged amino acids strictly conserved in class II G protein-coupled receptors. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 278 24759–24766. (doi:10.1074/jbc. M301916200)
- Cypess AM, Unson CG, Wu CR & Sakmar TP 1999 Two cytoplasmic loops of the glucagon receptor are required to elevate cAMP or intracellular calcium. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **274** 19455–19464. (doi:10.1074/ jbc.274.27.19455)

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the review.

This work was supported by the Hong Kong government grants, HKU6/CRF/11G and GRF764812M to B K C C and Committee on Research and Conference Grants (CRCG) 201011159013 to L T O L.

Bailes HJ, Trezise AE & Collin SP 2007 The optics of the growing lungfish eye: lens shape, focal ratio and pupillary movements in Neoceratodus forsteri (Krefft, 1870). *Visual Neuroscience* 24 377–387. (doi:10.1017/ S0952523807070381)

Journal of Molecular Endocrinology

52:3

- Dinoso V, Chey WY, Hendricks J & Lorber SH 1969 Intestinal mucosal hormones and motor function of the stomach in man. *Journal of Applied Physiology* **26** 326–329.
- Dinoso VP Jr, Meshkinpour H, Lorber SH, Gutierrez JG & Chey WY 1973 Motor responses of the sigmoid colon and rectum to exogenous cholecystokinin and secretin. *Gastroenterology* **65** 438–444.
- Dong M, Le A, Te JA, Pinon DI, Bordner AJ & Miller LJ 2011 Importance of each residue within secretin for receptor binding and biological activity. *Biochemistry* **50** 2983–2993. (doi:10.1021/bi200133u)
- Dong M, Xu X, Ball AM, Makhoul JA, Lam PC, Pinon DI, Orry A, Sexton PM, Abagyan R & Miller LJ 2012 Mapping spatial approximations between the amino terminus of secretin and each of the extracellular loops of its receptor using cysteine trapping. *FASEB Journal* **26** 5092–5105. (doi:10.1096/fj.12-212399)
- Furness SG, Wootten D, Christopoulos A & Sexton PM 2012 Consequences of splice variation on Secretin family G protein-coupled receptor function. *British Journal of Pharmacology* **166** 98–109. (doi:10.1111/j. 1476-5381.2011.01571.x)
- Gallwitz B, Witt M, Paetzold G, Morys-Wortmann C, Zimmermann B, Eckart K, Folsch UR & Schmidt WE 1994 Structure/activity characterization of glucagon-like peptide-1. *European Journal of Biochemistry* 225 1151–1156. (doi:10.1111/j.1432-1033.1994.1151b.x)
- Garcia GL, Dong M & Miller LJ 2012 Differential determinants for coupling of distinct G proteins with the class B secretin receptor. *American Journal of Physiology. Cell Physiology* **302** C1202–C1212. (doi:10.1152/ ajpcell.00273.2011)
- Gerber JG & Payne NA 1996 Secretin inhibits canine gastric acid secretion in response to pentagastrin by modulating gastric histamine release. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics* **279** 718–723.
- Gossen D, Buscail L, Cauvin A, Gourlet P, De Neef P, Rathe J, Robberecht P, Vandermeers-Piret MC, Vandermeers A & Christophe J 1990 Amino acid sequence of VIP, PHI and secretin from the rabbit small intestine. *Peptides* **11** 123–128. (doi:10.1016/0196-9781(90)90120-T)
- Gourlet P, Woussen-Colle MC, Robberecht P, de Neef P, Cauvin A, Vandermeers-Piret MC, Vandermeers A & Christophe J 1991 Structural requirements for the binding of the pituitary adenylate-cyclaseactivating peptide to receptors and adenylate-cyclase activation in pancreatic and neuronal membranes. *European Journal of Biochemistry* **195** 535–541. (doi:10.1111/j.1432-1033.1991.tb15734.x)
- Hirose S, Shimazaki K & Hattori N 1986 Effect of secretin and caerulein on the absorption of water, electrolytes and glucose from the jejunum of dogs. *Digestion* **35** 205–210. (doi:10.1159/000199369)
- Hoang HD, Wood JG, Bussjaeger LJ & Solomon TE 1988 Interaction of neurotensin with caerulein or secretin on digestive tract growth in rats. *Regulatory Peptides* 22 275–284. (doi:10.1016/0167-0115(88)90040-7)
- Holst N, Jenssen TG, Burhol PG, Haug E & Forsdahl F 1989*a* Plasma gastrointestinal hormones during spontaneous and induced menstrual cycles. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **68** 1160–1166. (doi:10.1210/jcem-68-6-1160)
- Holst N, Jenssen TG, Burhol PG & Maltau JM 1989b Plasma secretin concentrations during normal human pregnancy, delivery, and *postpartum. British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* **96** 424–427. (doi:10.1111/j.1471-0528.1989.tb02416.x)
- Holst N, Jenssen TG, Burhol PG & Haug E 1991 Prolactin response to secretin during the spontaneous menstrual cycle in women. *Gynecologic and Obstetric Investigation* **31** 37–41. (doi:10.1159/000293097)
- Horvath K 2000 Secretin treatment for autism. New England Journal of Medicine **342** 1216 author reply 1218. (doi:10.1056/ NEJM200004203421614)
- Horvath K, Stefanatos G, Sokolski KN, Wachtel R, Nabors L & Tildon JT 1998 Improved social and language skills after secretin administration in patients with autistic spectrum disorders. *Journal of the Association for Academic Minority Physicians* **9** 9–15.
- Hwang JI, Moon MJ, Park S, Kim DK, Cho EB, Ha N, Son GH, Kim K, Vaudry H & Seong JY 2013 Expansion of secretin-like G protein-coupled receptors and their peptide ligands via local duplications before and

http://jme.endocrinology-journals.org DOI: 10.1530/JME-13-0259 © 2014 Society for Endocrinology Printed in Great Britain after two rounds of whole-genome duplication. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **30** 1119–1130. (doi:10.1093/molbev/mst031)

- Irwin DM 2001 Molecular evolution of proglucagon. *Regulatory Peptides* **98** 1–12. (doi:10.1016/S0167-0115(00)00232-9)
- Jin HO, Lee KY, Chang TM, Chey WY & Dubois A 1994 Secretin: a physiological regulator of gastric emptying and acid output in dogs. *American Journal of Physiology* **267** G702–G708.
- Jorpes JE & Mutt V 1961 The gastrointestinal hormones, secretin and cholecystokinin–pancreozymin. Annals of Internal Medicine 55 395–405. (doi:10.7326/0003-4819-55-3-395)
- Kimura F, Mitsugi N, Arita J, Akema T & Yoshida K 1987 Effects of preoptic injections of gastrin, cholecystokinin, secretin, vasoactive intestinal peptide and PHI on the secretion of luteinizing hormone and prolactin in ovariectomized estrogen-primed rats. *Brain Research* **410** 315–322. (doi:10.1016/0006-8993(87)90330-1)
- Kleibeuker JH, Eysselein VE, Maxwell VE & Walsh JH 1984 Role of endogenous secretin in acid-induced inhibition of human gastric function. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* **73** 526–532. (doi:10.1172/ JCI111239)
- Kopin AS, Wheeler MB, Nishitani J, McBride EW, Chang TM, Chey WY & Leiter AB 1991 The secretin gene: evolutionary history, alternative splicing, and developmental regulation. *PNAS* 88 5335–5339. (doi:10.1073/pnas.88.12.5335)
- Kuntz A, Clement HW, Lehnert W, van Calker D, Hennighausen K, Gerlach M & Schulz E 2004 Effects of secretin on extracellular amino acid concentrations in rat hippocampus. *Journal of Neural Transmission* **111** 931–939. (doi:10.1007/s00702-003-0082-y)
- Laburthe M, Couvineau A, Gaudin P, Maoret JJ, Rouyer-Fessard C & Nicole P 1996 Receptors for VIP, PACAP, secretin, GRF, glucagon, GLP-1, and other members of their new family of G protein-linked receptors: structure–function relationship with special reference to the human VIP-1 receptor. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* **805** 94–109. (doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.1996.tb17476.x)
- Langer I, Langlet C & Robberecht P 2005 Effect of inactivating mutations on phosphorylation and internalization of the human VPAC2 receptor. *Journal of Molecular Endocrinology* **34** 405–414. (doi:10.1677/jme.1. 01717)
- Lee LT, Siu FK, Tam JK, Lau IT, Wong AO, Lin MC, Vaudry H & Chow BK 2007 Discovery of growth hormone-releasing hormones and receptors in nonmammalian vertebrates. *PNAS* **104** 2133–2138. (doi:10.1073/ pnas.0611008104)
- Magee DF & Nakajima S 1968 Stimulatory action of secretin on gastric pepsin secretion. *Experientia* **24** 689–690. (doi:10.1007/BF02138315)
- Marie JC, Rouyer-Fessard C, Couvineau A, Nicole P, Devaud H, El Benna J & Laburthe M 2003 Serine 447 in the carboxyl tail of human VPAC1 receptor is crucial for agonist-induced desensitization but not internalization of the receptor. *Molecular Pharmacology* **64** 1565–1574. (doi:10.1124/mol.64.6.1565)
- Mathi SK, Chan Y, Li X & Wheeler MB 1997 Scanning of the glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor localizes G protein-activating determinants primarily to the N terminus of the third intracellular loop. *Molecular Endocrinology* **11** 424–432. (doi:10.1210/mend.11.4.9913)
- Meyer JH, Way LW & Grossman MI 1970 Pancreatic bicarbonate response to various acids in duodenum of the dog. *American Journal of Physiology* 219 964–970.
- Modlin IM & Kidd M 2001 Ernest Starling and the discovery of secretin. Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology **32** 187–192. (doi:10.1097/00004836-200103000-00001)
- Mutt V, Jorpes JE & Magnusson S 1970 Structure of porcine secretin. The amino acid sequence. *European Journal of Biochemistry* **15** 513–519. (doi:10.1111/j.1432-1033.1970.tb01034.x)
- Ng SS, Pang RT, Chow BK & Cheng CH 1999 Real-time evaluation of human secretin receptor activity using cytosensor microphysiometry. *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry* **72** 517–527. (doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-4644(19990315)72:4<517::AID-JCB7>3.0.CO;2-1)

- Ng SS, Yung WH & Chow BK 2002 Secretin as a neuropeptide. *Molecular Neurobiology* **26** 97–107. (doi:10.1385/MN:26:1:097)
- Ng SY, Lee LT & Chow BK 2010 Insights into the evolution of proglucagonderived peptides and receptors in fish and amphibians. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* **1200** 15–32. (doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632. 2010.05505.x)
- Ng SY, Lee LT & Chow BK 2012 Receptor oligomerization: from early evidence to current understanding in class B GPCRs. *Frontiers in Endocrinology* **3** 175.
- Nilsson A, Carlquist M, Jornvall H & Mutt V 1980 Isolation and characterization of chicken secretin. *European Journal of Biochemistry* **112** 383–388. (doi:10.1111/j.1432-1033.1980.tb07216.x)
- Ogino Y, Katoh H, Kuraku S & Yamada G 2009 Evolutionary history and functional characterization of androgen receptor genes in jawed vertebrates. *Endocrinology* **150** 5415–5427. (doi:10.1210/en.2009-0523)

Ohno S 1970 *Evolution by Gene Duplication*. New York: Springer-Verlag. Olsen PS, Kirkegaard P, Poulsen SS & Nexo E 1994 Effect of secretin and

- somatostatin on secretion of epidermal growth factor from Brunner's glands in the rat. *Digestive Diseases and Sciences* **39** 2186–2190. (doi:10.1007/BF02090369)
- Pang RT, Ng SS, Cheng CH, Holtmann MH, Miller LJ & Chow BK 1999 Role of N-linked glycosylation on the function and expression of the human secretin receptor. *Endocrinology* **140** 5102–5111. (doi:10.1210/endo. 140.11.7134)
- Pansu D, Bosshard A, Dechelette MA & Vagne M 1980 Effect of pentagastrin, secretin and cholecystokinin on intestinal water and sodium absorption in the rat. *Digestion* **20** 201–206. (doi:10.1159/ 000198440)
- Ramirez M & Farrar JT 1970 The effect of secretin and cholecystokinin– pancreozymin on the intraluminal pressure of the jejunum in the unanesthetized dog. *American Journal of Digestive Diseases* **15** 539–544. (doi:10.1007/BF02238114)
- Rausch U, Vasiloudes P, Rudiger K & Kern HF 1985 *In-vivo* stimulation of rat pancreatic acinar cells by infusion of secretin. I. Changes in enzyme content, pancreatic fine structure and total rate of protein synthesis. *Cell Tissue Research* **242** 633–639. (doi:10.1007/BF00225430)
- Raybould HE & Holzer H 1993 Secretin inhibits gastric emptying in rats via a capsaicin-sensitive vagal afferent pathway. *European Journal of Pharmacology* **250** 165–167. (doi:10.1016/0014-2999(93)90636-V)
- Rhee JC, Chang TM, Lee KY, Jo YH & Chey WY 1991 Mechanism of oleic acid-induced inhibition on gastric acid secretion in rats. *American Journal of Physiology* 260 G564–G570.
- Segre GV & Goldring SR 1993 Receptors for secretin, calcitonin, parathyroid hormone (PTH)/PTH-related peptide, vasoactive intestinal peptide, glucagonlike peptide 1, growth hormone-releasing hormone, and glucagon belong to a newly discovered G-protein-linked receptor family. *Trends in Endocrinology and Metabolism* **4** 309–314. (doi:10.1016/ 1043-2760(93)90071-L)
- Sherwood NM, Krueckl SL & McRory JE 2000 The origin and function of the pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating polypeptide (PACAP)/glucagon superfamily. *Endocrine Reviews* **21** 619–670.
- Shinomura Y, Eng J & Yalow RS 1987 Dog secretin: sequence and biologic activity. *Life Sciences* **41** 1243–1248. (doi:10.1016/0024-3205(87)90202-5)
- Siu FK, Lam IP, Chu JY & Chow BK 2006 Signaling mechanisms of secretin receptor. *Regulatory Peptides* **137** 95–104. (doi:10.1016/j.regpep.2006. 02.011)

- Solomon TE, Petersen H, Elashoff J & Grossman MI 1978 Interaction of caerulein and secretin on pancreatic size and composition in rat. *American Journal of Physiology* 235 E714–E719.
- Solomon TE, Vanier M & Morisset J 1983 Cell site and time course of DNA synthesis in pancreas after caerulein and secretin. *American Journal of Physiology* **245** G99–105.
- Solomon TE, Morisset J, Wood JG & Bussjaeger LJ 1987 Additive interaction of pentagastrin and secretin on pancreatic growth in rats. *Gastroenterology* **92** 429–435.
- Steinke D, Hoegg S, Brinkmann H & Meyer A 2006 Three rounds (1R/2R/3R) of genome duplications and the evolution of the glycolytic pathway in vertebrates. *BMC Biology* **4** 16. (doi:10.1186/1741-7007-4-16)
- Stening GF, Johnson LR & Grossman MI 1969 Effect of secretin on acid and pepsin secretion in cat and dog. *Gastroenterology* **56** 468–475.
- Tam JK, Lau KW, Lee LT, Chu JY, Ng KM, Fournier A, Vaudry H & Chow BK 2011 Origin of secretin receptor precedes the advent of tetrapoda: evidence on the separated origins of secretin and orexin. *PLoS ONE* 6 e19384. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0019384)
- Toda Y, Mori K, Hashimoto T, Miyazaki M & Kuroda Y 2004 Efficacy of secretin for the treatment of autism. *No To Hattatsu. Brain and Development* 36 289–295.
- Valenzuela JE & Defilippi C 1981 Inhibition of gastric emptying in humans by secretion, the octapeptide of cholecystokinin, and intraduodenal fat. *Gastroenterology* **81** 898–902.
- Velmurugan S, Brunton PJ, Leng G & Russell JA 2010 Circulating secretin activates supraoptic nucleus oxytocin and vasopressin neurons via noradrenergic pathways in the rat. *Endocrinology* **151** 2681–2688. (doi:10.1210/en.2009-1440)
- Walde NH & Waldum HL 1981 The effect of secretin in physiological doses on serum group I pepsinogens (PG I) in man. *Hepatogastroenterology* 28 322–323.
- Waldum HL, Walde N & Burhol PG 1981 The effect of secretin on gastric H+ and pepsin secretion and on urinary electrolyte excretion in man. *Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology* **16** 999–1004. (doi:10.3109/00365528109181018)
- Wang Y, Huang G, Li J, Meng F, He X & Leung FC 2012 Characterization of chicken secretin (SCT) and secretin receptor (SCTR) genes: a novel secretin-like peptide (SCT-LP) and secretin encoded in a single gene. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* **348** 270–280. (doi:10.1016/j.mce. 2011.09.012)
- Watanabe S, Chey WY, Lee KY & Chang TM 1986 Secretin is released by digestive products of fat in dogs. *Gastroenterology* **90** 1008–1017.
- Whitmore TE, Holloway JL, Lofton-Day CE, Maurer MF, Chen L, Quinton TJ, Vincent JB, Scherer SW & Lok S 2000 Human secretin (SCT): gene structure, chromosome location, and distribution of mRNA. *Cytogenetics and Cell Genetics* **90** 47–52. (doi:10.1159/ 000015658)
- Wray V, Nokihara K & Naruse S 1998 Solution structure comparison of the VIP/PACAP family of peptides by NMR spectroscopy. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* **865** 37–44. (doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.1998.tb11160.x)
- Yamagata T, Urano H, Weeber EJ, Nelson DL & Nishijima I 2008 Impaired hippocampal synaptic function in secretin deficient mice. *Neuroscience* 154 1417–1422. (doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2008.04.037)
- You CH & Chey WY 1987 Secretin is an enterogastrone in humans. Digestive Diseases and Sciences **32** 466–471. (doi:10.1007/BF01296028)

Received in final form 12 March 2014 Accepted 21 March 2014